

The Journey of a LATAM Telco to Enhance Operations Through AIOps

A technical paper prepared for presentation at SCTE TechExpo24

Carlos Alberto Reyes Flórez
Manager Service Assurance Development
Liberty Latin America
carlos.reyes@cw.com

Table of Contents

Title	Page Number
1. Introduction.....	3
2. AIOps Implementation Journey at Liberty Latin America.....	3
2.1. Standardization	4
2.1.1. Standardization of Operations Processes	4
2.1.2. Standardization of Information.....	4
2.1.3. Standardization of Metrics and KPIs.....	4
2.1.4. Standardization of Concepts.....	4
2.2. Consolidation.....	5
2.2.1. Consolidation of Service Desk Platforms under the Concept of OTS (One Ticket System)	5
2.2.2. APP Fitness: Development of a Program for the Standardization of Monitoring Platforms	5
2.2.3. Unification of Inventory System	6
2.3. Observability.....	6
2.3.1. AIOps Platform Evaluation.....	6
2.3.2. Proof of Concept	6
2.3.3. Results Evaluation	6
2.3.4. Economic Evaluation	6
2.4. Automation	7
2.4.1. Automation of Routine Tasks:.....	7
2.4.2. Integration with Ticket Management Systems:.....	7
2.4.3. Pareto 80/20 Focus:.....	7
2.4.4. Identification of Critical Cases:.....	7
2.4.5. Automated Network Performance Monitoring:.....	7
3. Feedback.....	7
4. Conclusion.....	8
Abbreviations	9
Bibliography & References.....	9

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence for Operations (AIOps) is an emerging technology that combines big data and machine learning to automate and enhance operational processes in telecommunications. AIOps enables Liberty Latin America to manage its systems more efficiently and effectively by analyzing large volumes of data in real-time, identifying patterns, predicting issues, and automating responses. Specific uses of AIOps in our environment include proactive network monitoring, incident management, resource optimization, and improving user experience. This technology is transforming how Liberty Latin America approaches the management of its technological infrastructure, allowing us to shift from a reactive to a proactive and predictive stance.

This paper explores the transformative journey of Liberty Latin America, a leading telecommunications conglomerate, towards implementing Artificial Intelligence for IT Operations (AIOps). Liberty Latin America operates across diverse regions, characterized by multicultural diversity, complexity of its technological infrastructure and different systems across the organization. Integrating them across different layers poses significant challenges such as: systems integration, information standardization, process optimization and particularly in developing effective monitoring and incident management capabilities. The evolution from reactive to predictive monitoring reflects a broader industry trend towards proactive IT management. Transitioning to AIOps in such a multifaceted environment necessitates careful consideration of key objectives, including agility, integration, and user experience. This is crucial because it not only boosts proactivity metrics but also helps operations preempt potential issues. Telecommunications companies traditionally rely on robust yet administratively burdensome systems, hindering innovation and agility. The emergence of agile and secure integration protocols offers promising solutions, streamlining platform integration without sacrificing security or complexity. Furthermore, prioritizing user experience within monitoring systems is crucial for operational efficiency. Neglecting user-centric design risks relegating these systems to mere tasks, rather than empowering operators with efficient tools. Through this case study, Liberty Latin America shows the strategic imperatives and challenges inherent in adopting AIOps within the telecommunications industry. By embracing AIOps principles, telecom companies can unlock new levels of operational efficiency and innovation, positioning themselves for sustained success in an increasingly competitive landscape.

2. AIOps Implementation Journey at Liberty Latin America

Service assurance teams bear the responsibility of ensuring that our technological operations are efficient, integrated, and capable of supporting our continuous growth. We face significant challenges due to the diversity of service desk platforms, monitoring systems, and asset inventory databases that operate in isolation. This fragmentation not only creates information silos but also complicates incident management, performance monitoring, and the maintenance of our technological assets.

The challenge of consolidating these platforms and processes is formidable. It involves not only technological integration but also a structural change in the way our organization operates. The transition will require a meticulous approach to standardize our tools and processes, ensuring that all teams work under a common framework. Additionally, the structural change for our personnel will involve training and adapting our teams to new ways of working and new technologies.

Given such a diverse scenario, our journey begins by carefully defining the fundamental pillars to address our challenge:

2.1. Standardization

At Liberty Latin America, the process of standardization was fundamental to ensuring that our diverse operations across multiple markets and various service types were consistent and efficient. This effort spanned B2B services (Network, Security, Data Center, Voice) and B2C services (Fixed, Mobile), and was a crucial step towards achieving efficiency, integration, and growth. Here is a detailed description of our standardization process:

2.1.1. Standardization of Operations Processes

- **Assessment and Alignment:** We began by evaluating our existing processes across different markets and service types to identify variations and gaps. Our goal was to align all processes with the ITIL framework.
- **Process Mapping:** Each operation, from incident management to service request fulfillment, was mapped according to ITIL guidelines and the Telco methodology, which crosses various areas of operations management. This mapping helped us identify inconsistencies and areas for improvement.
- **Uniform Procedures:** We developed standardized procedures for all operations, ensuring that every team, regardless of location or service type, followed the same protocols. This included incident management, change management, problem management, and service desk operations.

2.1.2. Standardization of Information

- **Data Classification:** All data was classified according to a standardized schema that included categories such as service type, incident type, priority, and resolution status. This classification ensured uniformity in how data was recorded and retrieved.
- **Integration:** Using the standardized schema, we integrated the various data sources into a unified data management system. This system ensured that all information was consistent, up-to-date, and accessible across all departments and locations.
- **Governance:** We established data governance policies to maintain data quality and integrity. Regular audits were conducted to ensure compliance with these policies.

2.1.3. Standardization of Metrics and KPIs

- **Identification of Key Metrics:** We identified critical metrics and KPIs that aligned with our business goals and service delivery standards. These included metrics such as Mean Time to Resolution (MTTR), First Call Resolution (FCR), and Service Uptime, among others.
- **Definition and Documentation:** Each metric and KPI was clearly defined and documented, including how it was calculated, what it measured, and its importance to our operations. This documentation was shared across the organization to ensure a common understanding.
- **Dashboard Development:** We developed standardized dashboards that displayed these metrics and KPIs in real-time. These dashboards were accessible to all relevant stakeholders, providing a transparent view of our performance.

2.1.4. Standardization of Concepts

- **Concept Standardization:** We established standardized concepts for common terms and practices across the organization. This included definitions for service levels, incident severity, and resolution times. Consistent terminology ensured that everyone spoke the same language and understood each concept in the same way.

By meticulously following these steps, Liberty Latin America sought to create an integrated, seamless operation that could efficiently manage the complexities of multiple markets and diverse service offerings. The standardization process was not just about creating uniformity; it was about enhancing our ability to deliver exceptional service, continuously innovate, and grow sustainably.

2.2. Consolidation

At Liberty Latin America, the consolidation process was fundamental to simplifying our operations and improving the efficiency of our service management. This process encompassed the consolidation of service desk platforms, the standardization of monitoring platforms, and the unification of inventory systems. Here is a detailed description of how we carried out this consolidation:

2.2.1. Consolidation of Service Desk Platforms under the Concept of OTS (One Ticket System)

The first step in our consolidation process was addressing the fragmentation in our service desk platforms. We had multiple systems operating in different markets and services, creating information silos and hindering efficient ticket management. To resolve this, we adopted the concept of OTS (One Ticket System).

- Evaluation of Existing Systems: We began with a thorough evaluation of all the service desk systems we were using. We identified the strengths and weaknesses of each, as well as the most critical functionalities that needed to be preserved.
- Selection of a Unified Platform: Based on the evaluation, we selected a service desk platform that met all our operational requirements and could easily integrate with other systems.
- Data Migration: We migrated data from all existing service desk systems to the new unified platform. This process involved data cleaning, eliminating duplicates, and ensuring that all relevant information was correctly transferred.
- Training and Adoption: We implemented intensive training programs to ensure all teams became familiar with the new system. We also created user manuals and offered continuous support to ensure a smooth transition.

2.2.2. APP Fitness: Development of a Program for the Standardization of Monitoring Platforms

Another key aspect of our consolidation was the standardization of monitoring platforms. This effort was called APP Fitness and focused on evaluating and reducing the number of platforms to a few that could handle the monitoring needs of the entire organization. Internally, this concept was known as LACS.

- Evaluation of Platforms: We identified and evaluated all the monitoring platforms in use. The goal was to determine which were the most effective and suitable for our business needs.
- Development of Selection Criteria: We developed selection criteria based on factors such as integration capability, ease of use, cost, and real-time monitoring capabilities.
- Centralization of Standard Values: Monitoring values and parameters were standardized and centralized on the selected platforms. This ensured that all key metrics were measured uniformly across the organization.
- Implementation of LACS: We developed an implementation plan to integrate the selected platforms and gradually phase out the redundant ones. This process included exhaustive testing to ensure the new configurations worked smoothly.

2.2.3. Unification of Inventory System

The third component of our consolidation process focused on the unification of inventory systems. This process, which is still ongoing, aims to centralize and automate the management of network assets by federating and reconciling data from different sources.

- Identification of Data Sources: The first step was identifying all inventory data sources in use. This included databases, spreadsheets, and other local systems used in different departments and regions.
- Development of a Central System: We designed a centralized system to manage the inventory of network assets. This system is designed to federate and reconcile data from all identified sources, ensuring that the information is accurate and up-to-date.
- Automation of Asset Management: We are in the process to implement automation tools for managing network assets. These tools not only update the inventory in real time but also generate alerts and reports to facilitate decision-making.
- Continuous Integration: Since this process is ongoing, we continue to integrate new data sources and refine our reconciliation processes. This ensures that our inventory system remains robust and capable of supporting our constantly evolving operational needs.

2.3. Observability

The implementation of the observability concept was one of the most critical initial steps in our journey towards adopting AIOps. This process was structured into several key phases, although we later recognized that the approach taken was not adequate. However, this learning provided us with a valuable new perspective in the pursuit of efficiency in AIOps implementation:

2.3.1. AIOps Platform Evaluation

Initially, we evaluated multiple AIOps platforms available in the market. We considered factors such as functional capabilities, ease of integration with our existing systems, costs, and vendor support. We sought a solution that could handle not only the volume and variety of our monitoring data but also provide real-time analytics and predictive capabilities to improve our visibility and understanding of the systems.

2.3.2. Proof of Concept

We selected the most promising platforms to conduct proof of concepts (PoCs). During this phase, we integrated the platforms with our current monitoring and analysis systems. We evaluated the effectiveness of each platform in terms of its ability to provide a holistic view of our systems, reduce data noise, and accurately identify root causes of issues.

2.3.3. Results Evaluation

We analyzed the results of the proof of concepts to determine which platform offered the best results in terms of observability. We measured the impact on the speed and accuracy of incident identification, as well as the reduction of downtime. We also considered how each platform improved our ability to foresee problems before they occurred.

2.3.4. Economic Evaluation

Finally, we conducted an economic evaluation to ensure that the selected platform offered a favorable return on investment. We considered implementation and operational costs, as well as tangible benefits in

terms of operational efficiency, incident reduction, and improved observation and proactive response capabilities.

Despite our efforts, we recognized that our initial approach did not achieve the expected results. However, this experience was a crucial learning process that allowed us to reevaluate our strategies and adjust our approach. This process gave us a deeper understanding of our needs and challenges, guiding us towards seeking more efficient and effective solutions for AIOps implementation in the future.

2.4. Automation

Ticket resolution was a critical area where automation efforts showed a significant impact, especially in the B2B, B2C, and Core network domains. Below are the key points that contributed to improving this process:

2.4.1. Automation of Routine Tasks:

Through automation, we carried out routine tasks such as initial ticket classification and priority assignment. This freed up human resources to focus on more strategic and complex tasks, thus reducing incident resolution times.

2.4.2. Integration with Ticket Management Systems:

Seamless integration with our ticket management systems was crucial for a smooth transition and efficient operation. Automation facilitated communication and collaboration among teams, ensuring that critical information was available and shared promptly for quick issue resolution.

2.4.3. Pareto 80/20 Focus:

We implemented the Pareto 80/20 principle to prioritize tickets that had the greatest impact on our B2B, B2C, and Core network domains. We identified and focused on resolving cases that represented 20% of the incidents but generated 80% of the problems, significantly affecting the network and customer experience.

2.4.4. Identification of Critical Cases:

We improved our ability to identify cases that had a more significant impact on network and service quality. This included recurring issues, critical failures in key infrastructure, and situations that could result in service degradation for our customers.

2.4.5. Automated Network Performance Monitoring:

Automated monitoring of network performance allowed us to continuously monitor the state of our infrastructure in real-time. Automation provided us with the capability to quickly detect and address any performance degradation, ensuring higher network reliability and a better user experience. This capability was essential for maintaining high availability and performance of our services.

3. Feedback

Despite the significant progress achieved, it is crucial to recognize that we are still in the midst of an ongoing and evolving process. Several aspects are still under development and require constant attention to optimize our systems and services. Key areas include:

- Information Analysis for Predicting Situations: We are continuing to refine our capabilities to analyze large volumes of real-time data to foresee potential situations that could impact our customers. This process is essential for identifying patterns and anomalies that might lead to future incidents, enabling us to implement preventive measures and maintain proactive and efficient service.
- Automated Service Quality Monitoring: We are in the process of consolidating and optimizing automated service quality monitoring. This system provides us with real-time information on the performance of our services, allowing us to detect and address issues before they affect customers. Effective implementation of this tool is crucial for ensuring that our services meet the highest standards and for continuously improving customer satisfaction.
- "No Touch" Concept: We are developing and implementing the "No Touch" concept, which aims to minimize manual intervention in operational processes. Automating tasks and managing incidents remotely enhance efficiency and reduce errors, leading to a more agile and effective operation. This approach is designed to improve problem response and optimize resolution times.
- "No Fault" Operation Management: The "No Fault" operation management is another critical area we are focusing on. This concept is centered around maintaining our systems with no faults, ensuring high availability and stability. Implementing strategies and tools to prevent, detect, and resolve issues before they affect operations is key to maintaining service continuity and customer satisfaction.

These points reflect our ongoing commitment to improvement and innovation in our processes. Although we have made significant strides, the evolution and refinement of these areas remain a priority to ensure excellence in service and operations.

4. Conclusion

The implementation of AIOps at Liberty Latin America, although still in development, promises to significantly transform our operations and reach new levels of efficiency and effectiveness.

The projected results for the potential implementation of AIOps suggest substantial improvements in our operations:

Workflow Optimization: The integration of AIOps has the potential to revolutionize how we manage incidents. By calculating Mean Time to Resolution (MTTR) based on incident volume, a significant reduction in response times is anticipated through noise reduction and the generation of accurate, high-quality alerts. A projected 89.80% reduction in noise is expected through alert deduplication, aggregation, and enrichment, which will enable grouping, prioritizing, and correctly routing incidents to the appropriate teams. This transformation in workflow will be essential for enhancing operational efficiency.

Potential MTTR Reduction: It is estimated that by optimizing alerts and automating procedures, we could achieve a 37% reduction in MTTR. During the proof of concept (POC) phase, it is anticipated that 1.21 million events and 2,378 sample tickets will be managed with a projected MTTR of 209 minutes. Of these, 40% will be related to the service desk, and 40 major incidents will have a projected MTTR of 96.6 minutes. This improvement in resolution times will be crucial for service efficiency and customer satisfaction.

Potential Impact on Time and Costs: Based on these projections, a monthly saving of 5,700 work hours and a cost reduction of approximately \$2.15 million are anticipated. These tangible benefits reflect the positive impact of AIOps on operational efficiency and cost reduction, highlighting the value of automation in our continuous improvement strategy.

These projected results underscore AIOps’ transformative potential in our operations, strengthening our ability to detect, respond to, and proactively optimize. This advancement would position Liberty Latin America as a projected leader in innovation within the telecommunications industry. Although we have achieved significant progress, the ongoing evolution and refinement of these areas will continue to be a priority to ensure excellence in service and operations, reinforcing our commitment to continuous improvement and innovation.

Abbreviations

ITIL	Information Technology Infrastructure Library
B2C	Business to consumer
B2B	Business to Business
OTS	One Ticket System
LACS	Liberty AIOps Consolidation System

Bibliography & References

"Alarm Correlation." AIOps.com. <https://www.aiops.com/alarm-correlation>

"Improving Incident Management with AIOps." TechTarget. <https://www.techtarget.com/improving-incident-management-with-aiops>

"AI for Ticket Resolution." DataRobot. <https://www.datarobot.com/ai-for-ticket-resolution>

"Cross-Domain Correlation in AIOps." IBM. <https://www.ibm.com/cross-domain-correlation-aiops>

"Automated Network Performance Monitoring." Network World. <https://www.networkworld.com/article/automated-network-performance-monitoring>

<https://www.gartner.com/>