

A USER'S GUIDE TO HOME TERMINAL UNITS

Delbert H. Heller

VIACOM CABLEVISION

INTRODUCTION

The Home Terminal Unit (HTU), in its many varieties, has made possible the reception of a multitude of special cable-delivered programs to our subscribers. With the advent of Addressable HTUs, an entirely new approach to providing and controlling subscriber services is now available.

The additional complexity of the addressable system warrants a careful consideration of its total impact on cable system operations. The addressable product presents a new set of technical challenges, as well as inheriting some of the shortcomings of older generation HTUs.

Finally, there is on the horizon, the potential for greatly reducing the costs of addressable HTUs with the work being done on Cable Compatible Television Receivers by a joint EIA/NCTA Engineering Committee.

OUTLINE

I. SUBSCRIBER EXPECTATIONS

- A. High product reliability
 - 1. As the monthly bill for cable service increases, subscribers become less tolerant of product deficiencies and failures.
 - 2. The same caveat applies to all other aspects of plant operations.
- B. Product friendliness
 - 1. Entries and functions easily performed and remembered
 - 2. Condensed and well-written customer operation booklet
 - 3. Booklet may include common operating errors
- C. Product esthetics
 - 1. Attractive-looking
 - 2. Unobtrusive design, blends well with surroundings

II. OPERATOR EXPECTATIONS

- A. Product Reliability
 - 1. Short term and long term

B. Historical data -- HTU Failure Rates

- 1. Non-addressable HTUs -- service call percentages
- 2. Addressable HTUs -- service call percentages
- 3. The "hidden service calls"

C. On-going vendor support

III. PRE-PURCHASE CHECK LIST

A. Reliability and Field Performance Record

- 1. Check with current users -- don't take the vendor's word

B. Delivery record of vendor

- 1. Order commitments -- can he meet your long term demands
- 2. Production capacity
- 3. Order lead times and delivery scheduling

C. Engineering support

- 1. Do they have an adequately trained technical Field Support staff
- 2. What is the vendor's position on product deficiencies
- 3. Are complete and understandable operating and maintenance manuals available
- 4. Do they provide Field Service updates to these manuals

D. Repair procedures

- 1. In-warranty
- 2. Out-of-warranty

E. Establish product performance specifications

- 1. Electrical
- 2. Mechanical
- 3. Failure rates

F. Addressable software

1. Is it compatible with your on-line billing system
2. If not, will they provide support in developing a software/hardware interface
3. Is software documentation available, understandable and complete

G. Compatibility

1. Is the proposed scrambling technique compatible with any existing scrambling system you may have, if you require that capability
2. Can they make it compatible

H. Security

1. Are there older vintage converters or descramblers available on either the open or black market, that are capable of compromising your new purchase
2. Is the vendor willing to discuss any security weakness of his system
3. Is there any mechanism available whereby the vendor would alert his customers of future security breaches
4. Is the security system compatible with future services such as stereo audio, videotext and cable-ready TV sets
5. Can the security system be easily reproduced by pirates or tampered with

I. Addressable control capabilities

1. Maximum number of HTUs system can support
2. Maximum addressing cycle time
3. How is pay-per-view accommodated and what are the customer access parameters
4. Expansion capabilities and cost
5. What happens when the system "crashes"
6. Special test equipment requirements
7. Is remote control feature under addressable control

- J. Is special computer equipment and software available for Q.C. testing, independent of management computer

IV. POST-PURCHASE CONSIDERATIONS

A. Inventory control

1. Automated vs manual entries

B. Incoming Quality Control checks

1. Should you or shouldn't you
2. Average failure rates

C. Q.C. time comparisons

1. Standard HTUs
2. Addressable HTUs

V. FIELD PROBLEMS WITH ADDRESSABLE HTUs

A. Bad subscriber grounds/cut AC cord prongs

B. Lightning exposure and its consequences

C. HTU trouble points

1. Power supply design
2. Shielding characteristics
3. Video modulation/aural deviation on Baseband Decoders
4. AM radio and CB susceptibility

D. Subscriber drop levels

1. Too low
2. Too high

F. Cable plant ingress problems

G. High level sweep problems

H. Difficult operating procedures

1. Customer confusion
2. Customer education service calls
3. Parental control easy to use
4. Favorite channel recall feature easy to use

I. Computer problems

1. HTU deauthorizations

VI. THE CABLE COMPATIBLE TELEVISION SET -- HTU OF THE FUTURE?

A joint EIA/NCTA Engineering Committee has spent the last year and a half developing new performance specifications for a Cable Compatible Television Receiver. The efforts have been divided into three major categories.

A. Tuning standards

EIA Interim Standard No. 6 (IS-6) now establishes a channelization structure for Cable Compatible Receivers that corresponds to historical cable industry channel designations for standard, incremental and harmonically related cable systems, extending from 50 MHz to 650 MHz.

This Interim Standard also includes manufacturer's labeling suggestions for receivers with varying degrees of tuning capabilities. Copies are available from the Electronic Industries Association in Washington, D.C.

B. Interface standards

Work continues on establishing electrical interface standards such as maximum and minimum input levels, spurious signal leakage and RF shielding characteristics. It is possible that most of these standards could be implemented in the 1985 model receivers.

The increased RF shielding characteristics should allow the use of cable-compatible receivers in high ambient signal conditions, without having to install a cable converter to protect the receiver from direct pick-up interference.

C. Baseband decoder interface standard

Work also continues on establishing a multi-pin connector interface at the rear of the cable-compatible receiver to facilitate the development of a less expensive cable decoder. This could reduce the cost of an addressable decoder by at least half the current price.

If complete compatibility can be achieved by the cable-compatible receiver interface and a secure scrambling system, the possibility exists for the cable customer to own his own decoder module.

The decoder interface will also support the attachment of other baseband video and audio devices such as VCRs, stereo systems, computers, etc.

Greater cable industry participation is sorely needed to develop a baseband interface that meets our operational and economic needs.